THREE -ARAILLI 可可得

119 Pitts.L.J. 71 (1970). waiver under this section. Com. v. Deen, Note 135 42 Pa.C.S.A. § 9544

Burden of proof, waiver—In gen-

which justified failure to raise issue. Com. v. Sherard, 394 A.2d 971, 483 Pa. existence of extraordinary circumstance standing, or petitioner alleged and proved prior to trial was knowing and underted presumption that failure to raise issue proceeding unless petitioner either rebuthave been considered in post-conviction trial on charge of murder of second de-183, Sup.1978. tioner's statement was cognizable prior to Where alleged constitutionality of peti issue was waived and could not

stance justifying failure to raise issue. Com. v. LaSane, 389 A.2d 48, 479 Pa. existence of an and understanding or alleges and proves raise issue on direct appeal was knowing cognizable on direct appeal, issue is waived and cannot be raised in postconther rebuts presumption that failure to viction proceeding unless defendant ei-Since validity of a defendant's plea is extraordinary circum-

--- Commonwealth's burden of proof, waiver

Com. v. Vennie, 89 Dauph. 374, 1968; Com. v. Bogue, 89 Dauph. 370, 1968. his right to court appointed counsel was aware of both his right to appeal and the record is silent, that the defendant Commonwealth must demonstrate, where to the assistance of counsel on appeal, the waiver of the right to appeal and the right In order to establish an intelligent

gently waived his right to appeal. Com. v. Sweitzer, 395 A.2d 1376, 261 Pa.Suburden is on Commonwealth to prove of notice and waiver of appeal rights, ceived notice and knowingly and intelliper. 183, Super.1978. that postconviction petitioner has re-When trial record is silent on question

tioner waived his right to assert his prejusustain its burden of proving that petiswered truthfully, would have brought to asked voir dire questions which, if anwhether or not petitioner's trial counsel diced-juror claim. light alleged prejudice of juror failed to diced-juror claim. Com. v. Cornitcher, 291 A.2d 521, 447 Pa. 539, Sup.1972. Commonwealth which failed to show

CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS

POST-TRIAL MATTERS

Commonwealth. Com. v. Cornitcher 291 A.2d 521, 447 Pa. 539, Sup.1972 not upon the detendant but is upon the occurred, burden of proving nonwaiver is waiver of a federal constitutional right Where record is silent as to whether a

of proving that defendant waived his right to appeal. Com. v. Herge, 260 A.2d 787, 436 Pa. 542, Sup.1970. him after sentence had been imposed, Commonwealth failed to meet its burden fendant's original lawyers abandoned from conviction of murder, and that deinform defendant of his right to appeal Under evidence that trial judge did not

tioner knowingly and intelligently waived such right where trial record was silent on issue of waiver. Com. v. Gist, 249 counsel, had burden of proving that peti-A.2d 351, 433 Pa. 101, Sup.1969. was not told of his right to appeal with hearing of petitioner who alleged that he Commonwealth, at postconviction

right to appeal with counsel. Com. v. Gist, 249 A:2d 351, 433 Pa. 101, Sup. 1969. necessary, Commonwealth failed to disstate that he had told petitioner that he was entitled to free counsel on appeal if as to exactly what he told petitioner about his appeal rights and could not counsel of petitioner was unable to testify er knowingly and intelligently waived charge its burden of proving that petition-Where, at postconviction hearing, tria

138. — Petitioner's burden of proof.

drews, 520 A.2d 870, 360 Pa.Super. 404 issue will have and the greater the bur-Super. 1987. presumption of waiver of the den placed on petitioner to overcome the attention of the court, the less vitality that sented and the time it was brought to the should have been raised, the greater vitalant to the Post Conviction Hearing Act is raised in his petition. between the time it could have been preity the petition will have in terms of apfiled to the time that the issue in question pellate review, while the longer its delay more proximate a petition pursu-Com. v. McAn-

lish that his claim has not been waived. Com. v. Pfaff, 437 A.2d 1188, 496 Pa. 572, Sup.1981. Hearing Act, petitioner must first estab-To obtain relief under Post Conviction

Eagen, C.J., with two Justices concurring prove the error resulting in his conviction and sentence has not been waived. (Per viction Hearing Act, a petitioner must To be eligible for relief under Post Con-

jected to at trial, had not been waived. Com. v. Mathis, 398 A.2d 968, 484 Pa. lenge to alleged erroneous jury charge on voluntary intoxication, which was not ob-109, Sup.1979, defendant failed to establish that chal-In postconviction hearing proceeding

appeal from conviction, the issues have been waived. Com. v. O'Searo, 396 A.2d 1173, 483 Pa. 286, Sup.1978. his failure to raise certain issues on direct alleged nor proved any extraordinary cir-cumstances which would have justified Where postconviction petitioner neither

A.2d 454, 262 Pa.Super. 1, Super.1978. to justify the failure. Com. v. Lopez, 396 absence of extraordinary circumstances standing failure to raise the error in the finally waived by a knowing and underlief must prove that the error resulting in his conviction and sentence has not been A defendant seeking postconviction re-

counsel for first time in Post Conviction To raise claim of ineffectiveness of

and two Justices concurring in the result.) Com. v. Betrand, 399 A.2d 682, 484 Pa. 511, Sup.1979. on direct appeal, issue was waived. Com. v. Yarbough, 375 A.2d 135, 248 ceeding failed to prove existence of exsue on direct appeal; otherwise, ineffecfailure to raise issue of jury trial waiver traordinary circumstances justifying his circumstance justifying failure to raise istiveness claim is waived. Com. v. May strate existence of some extraordinary other than trial counsel must demonwas represented on appeal by counsel 382 A.2d 1223, 476 Pa. 385, Sup.1978 Hearing Act proceeding, petitioner who Where defendant in postconviction pro-

waived. Com. v. Conner, 341 A.2d 79, in prosecution proceedings has not been viction Hearing Act, individual must prove, inter alia, that error complained of 462 Pa. 278, Sup.1975. To be eligible for relief under Post Con-

Pa.Super. 356, Super.1977.

ligently. Com. v. Bordner, 89 Dauph. 36 (1968). sustain his burden of showing that his pleas were not made knowingly and intelwas determined that petitioner failed to sylvania Supreme Court, from which it held following a remand from the Pennseq. (repealed; see, now, § 9541 et seq. of this title) was denied after hearing, A petition under 19 P.S. § 1180-1 et

9545. Jurisdiction and proceedings

in anticipation of the filing of a petition under this subchapter court shall have authority to entertain a request for any form of relief under this subchapter shall be in the court of common pleas. No (a) Original jurisdiction.—Original jurisdiction over a proceeding

(b) Time for filing petition.—

subsequent petition, shall be filed within one year of the date the judgment becomes final, unless the petition alleges and the petitioner proves that: (1) Any petition under this subchapter, including a second or

wealth or the Constitution or laws of the United States; claim in violation of the Constitution or laws of this Commoninterference by government officials with the presentation of the (i) the failure to raise the claim previously was the result of

Exhibit #ZI(A)

the exercise of due diligence; or known to the petitioner and could not have been ascertained by (ii) the facts upon which the claim is predicated were un

42 Pa.C.S.A. § 9545

varagil Wal yruning gig gig AAAIII

POST-TRIAL MATTERS

section and has been held by that court to apply retroactively Court of Pennsylvania after the time period provided in this nized by the Supreme Court of the United States or the Supreme (iii) the right asserted is a constitutional right that was recog

shall be filed within 60 days of the date the claim could have been (2) Any petition invoking an exception provided in paragraph (1)

Pennsylvania, or at the expiration of time for seeking the review the Supreme Court of the United States and the Supreme Court of the conclusion of direct review, including discretionary review in (3) For purposes of this subchapter, a judgment becomes final at

not include defense counsel, whether appointed or retained (4) For purposes of this subchapter, "government officials"

(c) Stay of execution.—

in any case except as allowed under this subchapter. (1) No court shall have the authority to issue a stay of execution

a strong showing of likelihood of success on the merits. subchapter has been filed and is pending and the petitioner makes postconviction relief which meets all the requirements of this and January 1, 1996, no stay may be issued unless a petition for by the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania between January 1, 1994, defendants whose sentences have been affirmed on direct appeal (2) Except for first petitions filed under this subchapter by

(relating to disposition without evidentiary hearing) and 9576 (relating to evidentiary hearing) shall apply to the litigation of the forth under sections 9574 (relating to answer to petition), 9575 (3) If a stay of execution is granted, all limitations periods set

11 117

Į

(d) Evidentiary hearing.—

- stating the witness's name, address, date of birth and substance of tion shall include a signed certification as to each intended witness ness's testimony. Failure to substantially comply with the require mony inadmissible. ments of this paragraph shall render the proposed witness's testitestimony and shall include any documents material to that wit-(1) Where a petitioner requests an evidentiary hearing, the peti-
- showing of exceptional circumstances. chapter, shall be permitted except upon leave of court with a (2) No discovery, at any stage of proceedings under this sub-
- tive assistance of counsel as a ground for relief, any privilege (3) When a claim for relief is based on an allegation of ineffec-

concerning counsel's representation as to that issue shall be automatically terminated

13, P.L. 336, No. 47, § 3, imd. effective; 1995, Nov. 17, P.L. 1118, No. 32 (Spec. Sess. No. 1), § 1, effective in 60 days.

Suspended

1997, effective immediately. permanently by order of the Supreme Court dated August 11 Subsections (c)(3) and (d)(2) of this section are suspended

under Chapter 1500 (as amended by the 1997 order). Relief Act (as amended in 1995 and by the 1997 order), and in effect for purposes of challenges under the Post Conviction made pursuant to the Capital Unitary Review Act shall remain or after January 1, 1996 and that appointments of tively to all cases in which the death penalty was imposed on The 1997 order further provides that it shall apply retroaccounsel

Historical and Statutory Notes

tion and the heading. The 1988 amendment rewrote the sec-

spective of the date of conviction or senutory or common law, instituted on or actions for collateral relief, whether stat-336, No. 47, provides that the amendafter the effective date of this act, irrement to this section shall apply to all Section 6 of Act 1988, April 13, P.L.

tion, which formerly read: The 1995 amendment rewrote this sec-

prescribe procedures to implement the action established under this subchapter "(b) Rules governing proceedings.— The Supreme Court may, by general rule, jurisdiction over a proceeding under this the conviction was obtained. subchapter shall be in the court in which "(a) Original jurisdiction.—Original

but shall not expand, contract or modify the grounds for relief set forth in this

<u>ALMIIII</u>

Section 3(1) of Act 1995 (Spec. Sess. No. 1), Nov. 17, P.L. 1118, No. 32 provides that the amendment of 42 Pa.C.S. §§ 9542, 9543, 9544, 9545 and 9546 shall before the effective date of this act shall apply to petitions filed after the effective date of this act: however, a petitioner one year of the effective date of this act petitioner's first petition is filed within under 42 Pa.C.S. Ch. 95 Subch. B if the be deemed to have filed a timely petition whose judgment has become final on or

Prior Laws:

1970, Nov. 25, P.L. 759, No. 249, § 1. 1966, Jan. 25, P.L. (1965) 1580, No. 554, § 5 (19 P.S. § 1180–5).

Sahwit #21(8) AQYQQII

Library References

Criminal Law \$\infty\$954(1).

WESTLAW Topic No. 110.

Burden of proof and presumptions, burden of persuasion in criminal

> sylvania Practice § 305 cases, see Packel & Poulin, ! Penn-

Notes of Decisions

Time of filing Finality of judgment 7

In general 1 Contents 2 Counsel 3 Discretion of court